



✓ fight communism with common sense

price **5** cents

"The Nation's Anti-Communist Newspaper"

Common Sense

Reg. U. S. Pat. Off.

4th YEAR, No. 108

Entered as Second Class Matter,
Post Office, Union, New Jersey, U. S. A.

Union, New Jersey, U.S.A., January 1, 1950

One Year \$1.00

UNITED WORLD FEDERALISTS A TRAP TO USE TRUSTING AMERICANS IN ABOLISHING THE UNITED STATES

By Merwin K. Hart, President, National Economic Council, Inc. . . Letter 231



America faces one grave danger rarely touched upon by commentators who list proposals to come before Congress.

This danger lies in the schemes for "world government." Their proponents are going to press one or another of them at this session. And it might go through.

This world government idea is, in a nutshell, that the United States should throw in its lot politically with other nations, and therefore be governed no longer by Congress, but by an international Authority. In that Authority the United States would be a hopeless minority.

WHO ARE

UNITED WORLD FEDERALISTS?

Perhaps fifty thousand people belong to United World Federalist chapters throughout America. Many are sincere Americans who are persuaded "world government" is a step toward universal peace. With their yearning for peace we, of course, agree. We will show how completely they are misled, and by whom.

For the leadership of UWF is a different story. Although a few eminent and patriotic Americans have lent their names to this movement, yet most of the leaders are left-wing.

Consider some of those that met three years ago to organize UWF: Stringfellow Barr, A. Philip Randolph, Raymond Swing, Glen H. Taylor, Vito Marcantonio, James P. Warburg, Carl Van Doren, Mark Van Doren, Norman Corwin, Norman Cousins, Robert Sherwood, Clifton Fadiman, Sholem Asch, Rex Stout, Harlow Shapley, Waldo Frank, Robert L. Humber, Lewis Mumford, Upton Sinclair, Van Wyck Brooks, Charles G. Bolte, Emanuel Celler, James B. Carey, Walter Wanger, Rabbi David De Sola Pool, Elmer Rice, Rex Tugwell. There was Thomas Mann, who last summer addressed a large meeting in communist East Germany. There was Albert Einstein, world-renowned scientist, who began identifying himself with left-wing activities before he had learned English. These, and others, met at Asheville, N. C., February 21, 1947, and merged several existing organizations into UWF.

Among the above mentioned and others who attended this meeting were affiliations with as many as THIRTY-ONE groups that have been branded as subversive Communist fronts by the Attorney General, the FBI or the Tenney Committee of California. Among these groups are, or were; Friends of the Abraham Lincoln Brigade, National Council of American-Soviet Friendship, Joint Anti-Fascist Refugee Committee, American Student Union, Progressive Citizens of America, League of Women Shoppers, Council on African Affairs, League of American Writers, American Friends of Spanish Democracy, and Films for Democracy. Many of the persons named supported Henry Wallace in 1948. Glen Taylor was Wallace's running mate!

The first President of UWF was Cord Meyer, Jr. He has been its main spokesman. We know of no communist connections of Mr. Meyer. But if he does not recognize the kind of people who

(Continued on page 2)



HOW LONG BEFORE THE ANTI-CHRISTIAN
MARXISTS TEAR THIS DOWN?

Who Rules U.W.F.?

Zionists are the brains and controlling power behind Communism, and Zionists are the real driving-force behind United World Federalists. Communism and a "one world" plan have this in common—either would give Zionists and Marxists world domination.

Following the already established Communist-front pattern, the Zionists are cleverly staying in the background of United World Federalists. While using "world peace" as a lure, they entice prominent, innocent, well-meaning Christians into that un-American organization. These civic-minded Christians, generously lending their good names, then do the Zionists' work of trapping other unsuspecting persons into the U.W.F. net.

Invariably these stooges are not Communists. They are—in street language—"sucker bait."

COMMON SENSE knows that the main Zionist and international banking firms, with their headquarters at 1 William Street and 52 William Street, New York City, help finance and further the expansion of Communism throughout the world. There, too, are found the roots of United World Federalists.

We challenge top Zionist authorities and United World Federalists to meet us on the public platform.

We say to all good Americans who have been tricked—better read some booklets on the background of U.W.F. and learn who is backing the plot to hang the hammer and sickle over our Stars and Stripes.

Lenin said: "For every Communist there are ten others ready and willing to do their work."

N. Y. Legislature Nearly Approves World Rule Plan

Republished from THE TABLET,
A Catholic Weekly, January 21, 1950

Cleverly-Worded Resolution For World Govt.
Is Kept In Committee

CONTAINS THREAT TO U. S.

Subtle Scheme Has Catholic Support But Ignores
Papal Conditions For Peace

The Legislature at Albany this week narrowly escaped committing the citizens of New York State to a plan to abandon the Constitution of the United States in favor of a World Government.

On Thursday of last week, an innocent-sounding resolution was presented in both houses of the Legislature to memorialize the United States Congress "to call a convention for the sole purpose of proposing amendment of the Constitution to expedite and insure the participation of the United States in a world federal government, open to all nations, with powers which, while defined and limited, shall be adequate to preserve peace. . . ."

The introduction of the subtly-worded resolution was part of a highly organized nation-wide plan to secure the endorsement of World Government by 32, or two-thirds of the State Legislatures in order that a convention might be called to "amend" the Constitution. If 36 states approve the resolution, the convention will be mandatory.

Twenty-three State Legislatures have already given their endorsement. New York State would have been the 24th.

It is believed, however, that some States will rescind their approval after realizing the significance of the misleading resolution they have adopted.

Text Is Deceiving

The resolution itself, which is printed below, is carefully so worded as not to arouse the invariably busy legislator to study its contents too intently.

The first eight whereases are generalities unlikely to be seriously challenged. They oppose war and the cost of armaments, proclaim the need for world peace based upon law and order, and recognize that the United Nations under its present charter is incapable of restraining major nations from fostering or fomenting war.

The ninth will undoubtedly be described as misrepresentation should the resolution unhappily and inadvertently be permitted to reach the floor of either chamber. It declares that many States have memorialized Congress "to initiate steps toward the creation of a world federal government reserving to the nations and to the people those rights not specifically granted as

(Continued on page 3)

✓ fight communism with common sense

✓ fight communism with common sense

✓ fight communism with common sense

UNITED WORLD FEDERALISTS

(Continued from page 1)

surround him, then he is lacking in awareness. He does recognize the nature of such associations but sees no danger in them, the quality of his leadership is in question.

In November, 1949, Mr. Meyer was made chairman of the executive committee and a new president was chosen.

We quote from the Washington Times-Herald for November 9, 1949:

"The United World Federalists, an outfit dedicated to the extinction of the American Republic, recently trotted out a retreaded New Deal war time propagandist as its new president and mouthpiece. The spokesman, Alan Cranston, former chief of the foreign language division of the office of war information, made a pitch before a congressional committee in behalf of the program of uniting America with every other country in the world, Russia included, and replacing national citizenship with world citizenship."

"It is a rather remarkable fact that the government goes out of its way to prosecute leaders of the Communist Party for seeking the overthrow of the United States, but that leaders of movements which would achieve the same result in a different direction are given a respectful audience by Congressmen."

"Because Mr. Cranston, during the war, was discovered by another congressional committee to have been most interested in suppressing any criticism of Russia, it is possible to wonder whether his ultimate intention differs materially from the party leaders convicted under the Smith Act."

Now, some of the leadership of UWF are earnest Americans with no sympathy with Communism or Socialism. But, in accounting for their presence, it is well to remember that it is ordinary communist technique to give such a salt-and-pepper appearance to organizations they hope to find useful. And, of course, the presence of such sincere, naive persons makes it easier to convince the average American that an organization is "liberal" and broadminded enough "to include all points of view."

WHAT IS THEIR PROGRAM?

The UWF proposes a constitution which will set up a world federal government. No nation, once admitted, may secede. The world governing body would possess only delegated powers. In the words of a UWF leaflet, "point 2" of their program would "guarantee to each nation its right to maintain its own domestic, political, economic, social and religious institutions." That is, Russia (which UWF wants included) could still be Communist and maintain its coercive society; dictatorships would be as much a part of the set-up as would free peoples. "World law" would be binding upon all, and could be enforced directly upon individuals—by world courts. The world federal government would enjoy "direct taxing power independent of national taxation." "Reasonable provisions" are to be made for amending the world constitution.

The material put out by UWF engages in some slick double-talk. This is essential—to cover up a dilemma for which UWF has no solution.

The first deals with representation in the world legislative body. If each country were given one representative per million inhabitants, the nominally free people of each—a small minority—would have less than 500 votes out of a total of some 2,000. Actually, the really free peoples, number less than ten per cent of the world population.

The leaders of UWF see this problem. How can they persuade the free minority (principally the United States) to enter a political arrangement in which they would be dominated by the dictators who already hold the vast majority in bondage? Their problem is not simple. It is like a salesman attempting to persuade free people to pay cash for the right to a life prison sentence.

So UWF leaders talk around this problem. They say: "Representation in the legislative body should be determined upon a just formula, recognizing population, economic development, educational level and other relevant factors; each representative to vote as an individual." Obviously, if these words mean anything, they insinuate that some less populous countries will get large delegations, while others with large populations will be content with small delegations. So we shouldn't worry!

That such a suggestion could be seriously made shows either that UWF is naive, or thinks the American people are. We do not think the real UWF leaders are naive. For two facts stand out: First, the art of gerrymandering could hardly devise a formula whereby the really free ten per cent could outvote the subjugated ninety-per cent. Secondly, why would the dictators of

three-quarters of the earth's population enter a world federal government unless they could control it? The hint that they would not control it, in order to persuade Americans to surrender their independence, is just sucker-bait.

How frantically UWF attempts to evade a straight answer to this situation is found in a mimeographed UWF release called *Answers to Questions About World Government*, dated July 18, 1949. It says:

"The answer to this question [whether the U. S. would be outvoted] would be yes only if the United States contingent in the world government always voted against world peace. The world government proposed by UWF is designed to preserve the peace. If the United States wants peace, it will find itself voting with the majority who also want peace."

As an insult to American intelligence, this reply would be hard to beat. UWF's point 5 says: "Bill of Rights: The world constitution should include a bill of rights assuring equal and adequate protection to persons affected by the constitution and laws of the world federal government."

A casual reader might think that this guarantees liberty for everybody. But it does no such thing. UWF's point 2, already quoted, guarantees that despotisms can remain despotisms. This double-talk is a slick attempt to implant an idea while not actually stating it—a method characteristic of UWF propaganda material, as of all Communist propaganda everywhere.

The UWF program, when once established, is rigorously simple, even if likewise clad in double-talk. All national armies and navies are to be abolished. The only armed forces in the world, except for domestic police, would be the armed forces of the world-state. Atomic energy and all "other scientific developments easily diverted to mass destruction" would be under strict control of the world-state. This would mean practically all science and invention.

Do you Americans want to give up your army, navy and air force?

As to "other powers," there is further double-talk. "We recognize," says a UWF handout, "that although some world federalists believe that such limited powers would be sufficient as a beginning, others are convinced that any world organization to be effective, even at the start, must have broader powers to bring about peaceful change in the direction of a free and prosperous world community."

What can this mean? Remembering the horde of Marxists infesting UWF and the Marxist thesis that wars and economic distress are caused by class struggle, then clearly, if world power were placed in their hands, the World Federalists would proceed to root out economic freedom. In one fell swoop American private enterprise and American liberty would be wiped out.

Do you Americans want to substitute Russian slavery for your freedom?

WHAT ARE THEIR METHODS?

UWF methods are typical of left-wing "high pressure" groups. We would not wish to deprive UWF of the opportunity to convince Americans its cause is worthy. But, by the same token, UWF should not object when what it offers is publicly tested and weighed.

UWF has a three-pronged approach. First, through general propaganda, by printed word, radio and meetings. Second through local groups, of which there are now nearly a thousand. Third, it works actively on state legislatures and Congress to advance the tangible steps they hope will commit the U.S.A. to enter world government before the people know what it's all about.

UWF propaganda has capitalized on fear, especially fear of an atomic war. Over and over it says atomic war will end civilization, if not the human race itself. The only way out, it cries, is world government! UWF also plays on the sense of idealism, so easy to touch in most Americans. This is a noble ideal, says UWF. It will bring Tennyson's dream true:

"Till the war drums throb no longer, and the battle flags are furled

In the Parliament of man, the federation of the world!"

And the way to do it is to become an advocate of World Government! Join the parade of forward-looking people!

The UWF technique is the logical leap, carefully concealed from the unthinking, from the premise to the conclusion. It is an argument with the proof left out: Why does the fear of atomic war demonstrate that world government is any solution at all? Why does the fact that a truly peaceful world-society is a noble ideal lead to

the conclusion that the specific program of UWF will bring realization of that ideal, and not plunge us into some form of totalitarian world bondage? We are not told.

It is in meaningless generalities that advocates of UWF shine. Bring them down to specific cases and they murmur, "Oh, that's a detail that does not need to be settled now." Yet it is those very details, as they call them, that would make all the difference between a world of freedom and a world of tyranny. We think most of the leaders of UWF have very specific ideas of the way we should go, but do not want to share them with the public at this time.

As for the local groups, UWF has unerringly gone to circles where idealism is strongest—such as Christian churches, women's clubs, student groups—and has sold the "ideal" without too much bother over how the ideal is to be realized. It has harped on the power of "world government" to stop war, with little emphasis upon what KIND of "government." Government, in itself, has no moral character. Yet UWF consistently sets forth simply "government"—government per se, as the cure-all for the ills of humanity. "Give us world government," it cries in effect, "but don't bother us by asking what kind, whether free or tyrannical. All we need is more and greater government!"

THE HUMBER RESOLUTION

Several years ago Robert Lee Humber, a lawyer of North Carolina, drew up a form of resolution expressing vague and general approval of the idea of world government, and began sending it to state legislatures. (Humber is now a member of UWF's executive council.)

State legislatures often cheerfully pass vague resolutions on almost any subject, unless some member who knows about it happens to object. So, before almost anybody else but Mr. Humber knew or cared anything about it, his resolution was "adopted" by the legislatures of Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Missouri, New Hampshire, Oklahoma, Oregon, Rhode Island, Utah, Virginia, Washington.

Done mostly without debate, it is doubtful whether ten per cent of the members of these legislatures could recall what the "Humber Resolution" is about. Some of them never heard of it, or so they declare. Of course, no resolution which calls for the end of American independence could be adopted in any state legislature without a fierce struggle, provided the members knew the meaning of the resolution.

The Humber resolution had propaganda value. But it was only a preliminary. UWF had something more potent up its sleeve. It had another resolution that was more than a pious wish—a proposal that a convention be called to amend the Constitution of the United States "to expedite and insure the participation of the United States in a world federal government, open to all nations"

This resolution contains eleven paragraphs, NINE of them pious "Whereas" paragraphs. The real teeth show only in the tenth, and then only if one reads carefully. A number of state legislators who actually voted "aye" on this one thought it was either the Humber resolution or something like it. Legislatures of six states have passed this latter resolution: California, Connecticut, Florida, Maine, New Jersey and North Carolina. After the California resolution had been passed, heroic efforts were made by Senator Jack B. Tenney and others to rescind it—efforts which failed after a terrific battle.

Now if the legislatures of thirty-six states can be induced to pass this or some similar resolution, the calling of a constitutional convention for the purpose of ending the independence of the United States will be MANDATORY. The "Humber Resolution" does not count in this computation, but already legislators of states that have passed it have been told that since they have already committed themselves to world government, they will naturally want to pass the new resolution too.

In the national field, UWF has secured assent to its program from many senators and congressmen—including a majority of the members of the House Committee on Foreign Affairs! Last year a Concurrent Resolution was introduced in both House and Senate declaring that "It is the sense of the Congress that it should be a fundamental objective of the foreign policy of the United States to support and strengthen the United Nations and to seek its development into a world federation open to all nations with defined and limited powers adequate to preserve peace and prevent aggression through the enact-

(Continued on page 3)

United World Federalists

(Continued from page 2)

ment, interpretation and enforcement of world law." In the House this resolution was introduced by ONE HUNDRED AND FIVE Congressmen, in the Senate by a small group. Hearings were held by the House Committee in October. At first it was planned to hear only the proponents. The National Economic Council, however, insisted upon being heard—and was heard.

WORLD FEDERALISM'S FATAL MISTAKE

All who fall for world federalism labor under a monumental misapprehension—the UWF group most fatally of all. This misapprehension is that governments create societies. But all history proves that societies create governments. There is today no world society, in the sense of a world community sharing a common culture, tradition and philosophy of human freedom. World governmenters say world government will create a world society. But this shows they are either babes-in-the-woods or very shrewd deceivers.

A real world government, if men are to remain free, will need to be preceded by centuries of preparation. And eventually a more intelligent, less gullible age than ours may reject the whole philosophy of big government, and prefer a community of independent nations. But to create such "government" now, in the present ideological world chaos, would be to force it upon hundreds of millions of people, and to strengthen tyranny everywhere. It would mean world "government" only in the sense that a conquering despotism is called "government." It would dwarf the Ghengis Khans, the Napoleons and the Hitlers into significance.

Only the Central Authority would be armed. It alone would possess A-bombs and guided missiles to crush rebellion. But it would be composed of men subject to human passions and desires. Under its rule, human beings everywhere would experience the summit and deification of tyranny.

Are Americans ready to hand themselves over to such a despotism?

A REAL PROGRAM FOR LIBERTY AND PEACE

While a world despotism might abolish war in the old sense, it would also abolish human freedom. It would be the peace of the "lifer" in prison. The World Federalist thesis is acceptable only to those who think it better to have an enslaved humanity and no war, than freedom with the risks of war.

But there is an alternative.

The first step is to preserve freedom within the United States by curbing statism and reasserting the rights and obligations of the individual. This means a rediscovery of the principles of the American Revolution and putting them into dynamic practice. Freedom must be not merely preserved in America: it must be actively practiced if its tremendous internal energies are to continue to be released. If freedom falls here, it will fall everywhere.

The second step is to preserve and actively assert the independence of the United States. Instead of surrendering her liberty of action, America should guard and exercise it.

The third step is to make the Americans impregnable. Communist fifth columns should be mercilessly rooted out. This step can be taken only by sheer realism which will end once and for all the attrition of our resources by financial, diplomatic and military over-extensions throughout the world.

The fourth step is for a free, strong and prepared America to sit where she is and ride out the storm, giving to all mankind (as she formerly did) the example freedom provides. If she does so, there need be no third world war in our life-time. If we ourselves refuse to overextend, but permit the Soviet Union to do so, the Soviet system in less than a generation will fall apart, leaving an intact America to lead the world back to a better day. If the USSR and the U.S.A. fight within the next fifteen years, neither can conquer the other, but both may be wounded to the death.

In the choice between a slave world under supreme power, even without war, and the maintenance of freedom with the risk of war, we vote for freedom. If "peace" is to be purchased at the cost of liberty, the price is too high.

We urge every American to write his Member of Congress about World Government. We ask every member of Congress to think hard on the choice between freedom and the peace of slavery.

MERWIN K. HART,

President,

NATIONAL ECONOMIC COUNCIL, Inc.

N. Y. Legislature Nearly Approves World Rule Plan

(Continued from page 1)

necessary to the establishment and the maintenance of world law and order." Sponsors and supporters of the resolution have the responsibility of interpreting the clause; it can be safely stated they will not do so in clear and unequivocal terms.

As this issue goes to press, the resolution at Albany is still in committee. It is reported that demands are being made upon the legislators for an open hearing on the issue before the resolution is sent to the floor.

105 Sponsors In Congress

A similar resolution was introduced into the House of Representatives June 7, 1949. United World Federalists, the organization prompting it, publicly stated a few weeks ago that the following Representatives from New York State are among the 105 sponsors of the resolution in the House:

William T. Byrne, Emmanuel Celler, John C. Davies, Ralph W. Gwynn, Louis B. Heller, Jacob K. Javits, Arthur G. Klein, Kenneth B. Keating, Abraham J. Multer, Adam C. Powell, Jr., R. Walter Riehman and Anthony F. Tauriello.

The United World Federalists, as presently organized, was formed three years ago. Among those who took part were James P. Warburg, Rep. Vito Marcantonio, Sholem Asch, Rex Tugwell, Senator Glen H. Taylor (running mate of Henry Wallace in the 1948 election campaign), Norman Corwin, Professor Harlow Shapley, Rex Stout, Rep. Celler, Norman Cousins and Charles G. Bolte. Methodist Bishop G. Bromley Oxnam, Supreme Court Justice William O. Douglas and Senator Claude Pepper are among its advocates.

Literature on the proposed World Government is deliberately couched in generalities. When specific answers are sought at meetings of the local units, said to be near 1,000, the usual reply is that details can wait until later.

Official publications, however, have some statements which indicate the extent of the power to be given the World Government.

One of them, for example, says: "World Law shall be enforceable directly upon individuals," and the World Government "should have authority to raise defendable revenue under a . . . direct taxing power independent of national taxation." The consequences of these proposals to each American need not be amplified.

Representation in the proposed World Government will give peoples of the still free nations about ten per cent of the voting power. When a question concerning the insignificant vote accorded to Americans was posed directly to the United World Federalists the letter's official reply was:

"The answer to this question would be 'yes' only if the United States contingent in the World Government always voted against world peace. The World Government proposed by United World Federalists is designed to preserve the peace. If the United States wants peace it will find itself voting with the majority who also want peace."

The United States would also be compelled to scrap its military and naval defenses under the World Government which would "possess provisions prohibiting possession by any nation of armament and forces beyond an approved level required for its internal police." America would, therefore, depend for its protection on an international army responsible only to the World Government. The latter would be in the present state of the world, in control of Communist, or at least Socialist, delegates.

It is also to be understood that all immigration, tariff and other barriers would be removed.

Catholics Used As Fronts

The United World Federalists, in their propaganda campaign to "educate" the public away from patriotism, which they condemn as "nationalism," have openly approached Catholics and Catholic organizations for support. They feature the names of priests and Catholic laymen on their literature.

The movement, however, ignores the conditions laid down so many times by Pope Pius XII for world peace. Especially notable by its absence is the fundamental condition emphasized by the Holy Father: ". . . an open acknowledgement of the rights of God and of His Law, or, at the very least, of the natural law on whose solid foundation are anchored the rights of man."

In making that statement on Nov. 12, 1948,

to members of the European Union of Federalists, Pope Pius added the question: "Isolated from religion, how can all these rights and freedoms assure unity, order and peace?"

Text of Resolution

Following is the text of the resolution for a world federal government as introduced into the State Senate by Senator Walter Mahoney of Buffalo:

"Whereas, Modern science has produced weapons of war which are overwhelmingly destructive and against which there is no sure defense, and war is now a threat to the very existence of our civilization; and

"Whereas, The high taxes and huge deficits resulting inevitably from the arms program we must pursue as long as the possibility of aggression exists threaten us with bankruptcy and the destruction of the American way of life; and

"Whereas, The effective maintenance of world peace is the proper concern and responsibility of every American citizen; and

"Whereas, The people of the State of New York, while now enjoying domestic peace and security under the laws of their federal, state and local government, deeply desire the guarantee of world peace; and

"Whereas, All history shows that peace is the product of law and order, and that law and order are the product of government; and

"Whereas, The State of New York, as the home of the United Nations has a particular responsibility to support and strengthen that organization; and

"Whereas, The United Nations, as presently constituted, although accomplishing great good in many fields, has not been given authority to enact, interpret or enforce world law, and under its present charter is incapable of restraining any major nations which may foster or foment war; and

"Whereas, The charter of the United Nations expressly provides, in Articles 108 and 109, a procedure for reviewing and altering the Charter; and

"Whereas, Many states have memorialized Congress, through resolutions by their state legislatures or in referenda by their voters, to initiate steps toward the creation of a world federal government reserving to the nations and to the people those rights not specifically granted as necessary to the establishment and the maintenance of world law and order; and

"Whereas, Many states have already applied to Congress for the identical purpose proposed hereinafter in this resolution; and

"Whereas, Several nations have recently adopted constitutional provisions to facilitate their entry into a world federal government with powers adequate to assure peace; now, therefore, be it

"Resolved (if the Assembly concur) That application is hereby made to the Congress of the United States, pursuant to Article V of the Constitution of the United States, to call a convention for the sole purpose of proposing amendment of the Constitution to expedite and insure the participation of the United States in a world federal government, open to all nations, with powers which, while defined and limited, shall be adequate to preserve peace, whether the proposed charter or constitution of such world federal government be presented in the form of amendments to the charter of the United Nations, or by a world constitutional convention, or otherwise; and be it further

"Resolved (if the Assembly concur), That copies of this resolution be immediately transmitted to the President of the United States, the Secretary of the Senate of the United States, the Clerk of the House of Representatives of the United States, and to each member of the Congress duly elected from the State of New York and that the latter be urged to devote themselves to the task of accomplishing the purpose of this resolution."

HELP US TO HELP YOU!

Common Sense is a non-profit, non-sectarian, non-political enterprise engaged exclusively in the task of informing the American people of the dangers which threaten our Republic and the established institutions of our kind of civilization.

TO WIN YOUR FIGHT FOR YOU WE NEED VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS FROM PUBLIC SPIRITED FRIENDS

*If for business, professional, social or political considerations, or any other personal reason, it is more desirable to make your contribution anonymously, just pin a \$10. or \$5. or \$1. bill to this coupon and mail it. It will be an added "straw" by which you help to "break the camel's back!"

OBEY THAT IMPULSE!

*Trade Mark Registered United States Patent Office.

W fight communism with common sense

Delay N. Y. World Government Vote

Republished from N. Y., Journal-American, Jan. 25, 1950

A move in the Legislature at Albany to commit the State to participation in a "World Government" plan was locked in committee today.

Mounting protests from patriotic societies and veterans' organizations, militantly opposed to any plan that would relegate this country to a minor status in a world group, are believed responsible for keeping the resolution from reaching the Senate and Assembly floors.

Sponsored by State Sen. Mahoney, Buffalo Republican, a resolution has been introduced which would memorialize Congress to take action on the world government movement. Mahoney is chairman of the Finance Committee, where the resolution now rests.

In view of increasing civic opposition, committee members are believed wary of reporting it out for a vote.

Could the resolution be pushed through—without fanfare and specifically without a public hearing at which protest groups could aid their views—New York would become the 24th State to adopt it.

Should 32 States act similarly, then Congressional action would be mandatory. The Mahoney resolution proposes an amendment to the Constitution that would:

"Expedite and insure participation of the United States in a world Federal government."

In effect, a "world government" would submerge this country to a virtual nonentity.

As the N. Y. Journal-American has pointed out repeatedly:

"If each nation (there are 64) has one vote, as in the United Nations, the vote of the United States will be equal only to the vote of Siam.

"But the British Empire will have five or more votes. And what is far more serious, Soviet Russia and its satellites will control a larger number."

Typical of organized protest against the resolution is that from the board of directors of the American Coalition—an association comprising 85 leading U. S. patriotic societies.

"We declare emphatic opposition to submergence of the United States in a world federation," the association stressed at its annual convention.

"This project is politically impractical, fraught with disastrous economic possibilities for the American taxpayer, and militarily a menace to American liberty."

Tomorrow and Friday of this week, the Woman's Patriotic Conference, representing 3,000,000 women, is expected to blast the resolution in a meeting at the Hotel Statler in Washington.

LISTEN TO FULTON LEWIS JR.
MONDAY TO FRIDAY
7 P. M. — WOR

Common Sense

Union, New Jersey, U. S. A.

Conde McGinley, Editor

One Year \$1.00

Three Years 2.50

(Add 50c per year for Canada & Foreign)

You can do your bit to help protect and preserve the precious heritage common to all good Americans by your help in distributing copies of *Common Sense* to individuals and organizations to the limit of your financial capacity and of your ability to cooperate.

QUANTITY	PRICE POSTPAID	QUANTITY	PRICE POSTPAID
3000	\$55.00	500	\$10.00
2000	40.00	100	3.00
1000	20.00	30	1.00

Special low prices for large quantities to churches, schools, veterans' groups, labor unions, and commercial, social and civic groups. Quotations upon application.

Published twice monthly at
2001 Pleasant Parkway, Union, New Jersey, U. S. A.

*Trade Mark Registered United States Patent Office.

LOVING BETRAYAL

By Alfred P. Haake

(EDITOR'S NOTE: Alfred P. Haake, Ph.D., Mayor of Park Ridge, Illinois, is a noted Economist, Business Consultant, Lecturer and Author.)

Released by George Peck, 153 East 38th Street New York 16, N. Y.

Someone has said that the road to Hell is paved with good intentions, but he did not tell us whether those who have paved that road go to Hell.

It would be interesting to know whether the well-meaning perverter of truth, the betrayer of those whom he loves, and the destroyer of that which he seeks to build are judged by their intentions or by the results of their actions,—when the Great Judge renders his final verdict.

We need not answer that question here, but it is important to know that motives, no matter how good, cannot prevent operation of the law of cause and effect.

The doctor who mistakenly prescribes arsenic to cure heart trouble does not thereby prevent the poison from killing his patient, no matter how earnest his hopes that the patient get well.

The architect does not prevent destruction of the house he builds if he ignores the laws of physics in trying to save money for his client.

The teacher who loves his students does not thereby change the laws of psychology which wreck the boy who is mistakenly taught to rely entirely upon others.

So with the political and economic policies of a nation. We know that the communist wants to destroy capitalism and thereby tear down the prosperous economic structure which we have built in the United States. He wants to set up government control over our lives and subjugate the individual to the state. He sees Jesus of Nazareth with his gospel of love as the mortal enemy of Karl Marx with his doctrine of class hate.

But the communist is too wise to attack in the open with clear avowal of his real purpose. He makes the attack in the name of democracy and humanitarianism, seeking to enlist for his fell purposes the aid of the very elements he seeks to destroy.

And so, many a devout church member, or worker for good, accepts the extravagant spending and accumulation of public debt as means to foster the welfare of individuals less fortunate than himself, and to provide easy help for the projects he would serve. He does this out of love for his fellow man, to establish the reign of plenty for all men on earth.

But, whether the purpose behind the extravagant spending, subsidies, government control and piling up of debts be to wreck the capitalistic system quickly, as is the aim of the communist and his socialistic pals—or whether it be in the name of democracy to promote humanitarian purposes, as is the hope of the devout and the good—THE END RESULT IS THE SAME in either case. It brings destruction to our traditional American freedom and self-reliance, the setting up of bureaucratic controls which ultimately enslave the individual, lower his standard of living, and, ultimately destroy the Church itself.

So the devout church member can become fellow-traveler to the communist without realizing it, and neither the purity nor the nobility of his motives prevents him from serving as ally to the destroyers of his country and its basic freedoms. His methods defeat his purpose.

Sheer love for humanity does not save humanity when those who love it use the methods that ultimately destroy the objects of their love. It then becomes loving betrayal.

OTHERS

Lord, help me live from day to day
In such a self-forgetful way
That even when I kneel to pray
My prayers will be for OTHERS.

Help me in all the work I do
To ever be sincere and true
And know that all I do for YOU
Must needs be done for OTHERS.

Let self be crucified and slain
And buried deep, and all in vain
May efforts be to rise again
Unless to live for OTHERS.

And when my work on earth is done
And my new work in heaven begun
May I forget the crown I've won
While thinking still of OTHERS.

Federal World Repeal Praised

Republished from N. Y. Journal-American
Jan. 25, 1950

WASHINGTON, Jan. 25—Daughters of the American Revolution today praised the Georgia Legislature for rescinding its previous backing of the world federation movement.

The Georgia Senate voted 34 to 8 to repeal a resolution passed two years ago calling for a world government.

The Georgia House previously passed the repeal legislation unanimously.

AIDED BY VETS

Mrs. Lola Lee Bruington, executive secretary of DAR's national defense committee, said Georgia is the first of 22 states on record to reverse its endorsement of the plan to replace national sovereignty with an international federation.

Mrs. T. Earle Stribling, DAR state chairman for national defense, led the fight for repeal. Veterans organizations, including the Veterans of Foreign Wars, aided.

"THE GREEK CATHOLIC PATRIARCALE JAFFA (PALESTINE)

Jaffa, August 4, 1948

Mr. Delegate,

I wish to inform you that my Church has been desecrated by the Jews. It was on July 28. Armed Jewish soldiers broke through the Church door. They stole two chalices and sacred vases containing the Holy Host, three crosses, a patena plate, two altar spoons, several gold and silver ex-votos, and a leather case. That is what I have been able to trace as missing. Then they threw away the icons of Jesus-Christ and the Holy Virgin in the garden next door.

When I went to complain to the commandant of the nearest military post, the soldiers laughed at me and would not let me see their chief.

I cannot remain silent when faced with so great a crime. I now wish to protest against so hateful a desecration. We are constantly hearing Jewish leaders make high-sounding statements that they respect churches and religious leaders. Such acts do not follow their words.

I am acquainting you with facts of which the Christian world at large is unaware. I beg of you, Mr. Delegate, to convey those facts to my religious chiefs in Jerusalem, at the Greek Catholic Patriarchate. Likewise, I should be grateful if you could communicate those facts to the Apostolic Delegate.

That, Mr. Delegate, is what I believe was my duty to report to you.

Respectfully yours,

G. REZK,

Greek Catholic Church in Jaffa

"Certified authentic
Signed: FATHER GABRIEL ABOU SAADA
16.8.48
Seal of the Greek Catholic Patriarchate of Jerusalem."

RATES ARE DROPPED

THE FIGHT IS NOT

Effective immediately, subscription rates to *COMMON SENSE* have been reduced to \$1.00 a year. The battle we are waging against subversives and anti-Christians is so serious we have lowered our price in an effort to reach a wider audience. As many people as possible should learn the TRUTH.

At the trifling cost of \$1.00 per year, *COMMON SENSE* should be within the means of everyone who wants to know all the news which is kept out of print. If you already have a subscription, subscribe for a friend.

America's situation is so critical everyone who can read should read *COMMON SENSE* for the truth behind the world situation today.

COMMON SENSE is now \$1.00 per year. \$2.50 for three years. Add 50c for Canada or Foreign.

THE FIRST DEFENSE AGAINST COMMUNISM IS TO UNDERSTAND IT! INFORM YOURSELF AND YOUR FRIENDS!
HELP STOP THE SPREAD OF COMMUNISM BY SUBSCRIBING TO COMMON SENSE AND DISTRIBUTING COPIES!